Application No. 10/621,267 Paper Dated: May 15, 2006

Attorney Docket No. 111956.00301

### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

:

Zachary THOMAS, et al.

Serial No.:

10/621,267

Group Art Unit: 2162

Filed:

July 16, 2003

Examiner: Dennis Y. Myint

Title: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR MANAGING JOB APPLICANT DATA

# AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Mail Stop Amendment

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 23313-1450

Sir:

This is a Response to the Office Action mailed February 15, 2006 in the abovereferenced application. The deadline for a response is May 15, 2006. Accordingly, this response is timely filed.

Amendments to the claims begin on page 2 of this document.

Remarks begin on page 8 of this document.

### AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the present application.

1. (original) A method of managing job applicant data, comprising:

receiving an applicant request for a specified job;

receiving applicant data;

storing the applicant data in a database;

linking one or more elements of the applicant data to an employer position; and providing a report profile whereby a resulting report relates one or more elements of the applicant data for a plurality of applicants to one or more of the employer positions.

- 2. (original) The method of claim 1 wherein the elements of the applicant data comprise data indicative of gender and ethnicity.
- 3. (original) The method of claim 1 wherein each employer position comprises a specified job or a job group that corresponds to one or more specified jobs.
- 4. (original) The method of claim 1 further comprising:

storing, in the database, new hire data; and

linking one or more elements of the new hire data to an employer position,

wherein the report resulting from the report profile further relates one or more

elements of the new hire data for a plurality of new hires to one or more of the employer

positions.

Application No. 10/621,267

Paper Dated: May 15, 2006

Attorney Docket No. 111956.00301

5. (original) The method of claim 4 wherein the elements of the new hire data comprise

data indicative of gender and ethnicity.

6. (original) The method of claim 1 wherein an applicant request will be rejected unless at

least one job is specified.

7. (original) The method of claim 1 further comprising the step of displaying a list of

available specified jobs prior to the first receiving step.

8. (original) The method of claim 1 further comprising the step of providing a search

engine whereby a user may search for available jobs prior to the first receiving step.

9. (original) The method of claim 1 further comprising the step of providing a jobs agent

that periodically searches a database of available jobs and notifies a user when a job meeting

user-specified criteria is available.

10. (original) A recruitment data management system, comprising:

an employer computing device having a memory and a processor; and

an employer database accessible by the employer computing device,

whereby the memory stores computer program instructions that instruct the

employer computing device to perform the steps of:

receiving an applicant request for a specified job;

-3-

Application No. 10/621,267

Paper Dated: May 15, 2006

Attorney Docket No. 111956.00301

receiving applicant data comprising gender and ethnicity data;

storing the applicant data in the employer database;

linking one or more elements of the applicant data to an employer

position; and

providing a report profile whereby a resulting report relates one or more

elements of the applicant data for a plurality of applicants to one or more of the

employer positions.

11. (original) The system of claim 10 wherein the instructions further instruct the employer

computing device to perform the steps of:

storing, in the database, new hire data comprising gender and ethnicity

information: and

linking one or more elements of the new hire data to an employer position,

wherein the report resulting from the report profile further relates one or more

elements of the new hire data for a plurality of new hires to one or more of the employer

positions.

12. (original) The method of claim 11 wherein the elements of the new hire data comprise

data indicative of gender and ethnicity.

13. (original) The system of claim 10 wherein each employer position comprises a specified

job or a job group corresponding to one or more specified jobs.

14. (original) The system of claim 10 wherein the elements of the applicant data comprise

gender and ethnicity data.

-4-

Application No. 10/621,267

Paper Dated: May 15, 2006

Attorney Docket No. 111956.00301

15. (original) The system of claim 10 wherein the instructions further instruct the employer

computing device to reject an applicant request unless at least one job is specified.

16. (original) The system of claim 10 wherein the instructions further instruct the employer

computing device to display a list of available specified jobs prior to receiving the applicant data.

17. (original) The system of claim 10 wherein the instructions further instruct a user

computing device to display a list of available specified jobs prior to receiving the applicant data.

18. (original) The method of claim 10 wherein the instructions further instruct the employer

computing device to provide a search engine whereby a user may search for available jobs prior

to submitting the applicant data.

19. (original) The method of claim 10 wherein the instructions further instruct a user

computing device to provide a search engine whereby a user may search for available jobs prior

to submitting the applicant data.

20. (original) The method of claim 10 wherein the instructions further instruct the employer

computing device to provide a jobs agent that periodically searches a database of available jobs

and notifies a user when a job meeting user-specified criteria is available.

-5-

PATENT APPLICATION Application No. 10/621,267

Paper Dated: May 15, 2006

Attorney Docket No. 111956.00301

## 21. (original) A recruitment data management system, comprising:

means for receiving an applicant request for a specified job and prohibiting receipt of a request unless a job is specified;

means for receiving and storing applicant data that includes gender and ethnicity information; and

means for providing a report that relates one or more elements of the applicant data for a plurality of applicants to one or more of the employer positions.

## 22. (original) A method of managing job applicant data, comprising:

receiving an applicant request for a specified job and prohibiting receipt of a request unless a job is specified;

receiving applicant data including gender and ethnicity information; storing the applicant data in a database;

linking one or more elements of the applicant data to the specified job or a job group; and

providing a report profile whereby a resulting report relates one or more elements of the applicant data for a plurality of applicants to one or more specified jobs or job groups.

Application No. 10/621,267

Paper Dated: May 15, 2006

Attorney Docket No. 111956.00301

23. (original) The method of claim 19 further comprising:

storing, in the database, new hire data comprising gender and ethnicity

information; and

linking one or more elements of the new hire data to one of the specified jobs or

job groups,

wherein the report resulting from the report profile further relates one or more elements of the new hire data for a plurality of new hires to one or more of the specified jobs or job groups.

24. (new) A method of managing job applicant data comprising:

receiving, from a plurality of applicants, an applicant request, wherein each applicant request includes a specified job and mandatory profile information;

storing the mandatory profile information in a database; and

receiving an employer request for a report that includes selected portions of the mandatory profile information for the plurality of applicants.

- 25. (new) The method of claim 24, wherein the mandatory profile information includes gender or ethnicity information, and the report includes a profile of the gender or ethnicity of all applicants for the specified job.
- 26. (new) The method of claim 24, wherein the report includes a profile of selected categories of the mandatory profile information related to a group tied to one or more job positions.

Application No. 10/621,267

Paper Dated: May 15, 2006

Attorney Docket No. 111956.00301

### **REMARKS**

### 1. Status and Summary

The Examiner has rejected claims 1, 3, 10, and 13 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Application Publication Number 2003/0125970 to *Mittal et al.* 

The Examiner has rejected claims 2, 4, 5, 11, 12, and 14 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Mittal* in view of U.S. Patent Application Number 2002/0128894 to *Farenden*.

The Examiner has rejected claims 6-8, 15-19, and 21-23 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Mittal* in view of *Farenden* and further in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication Number 2002/0156674 to *Okamoto et al*.

The Examiner has rejected claims 9 and 20 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Mittal* in view of U.S. Patent Number 5,978,768 to *McGovern et al.* Claims 1-23 remain pending in this application. Claims 24, 25 and 26 are new.

### 2. Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §102

Claims 1 and 10

Claims 1 and 10 stand rejected by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as allegedly being anticipated by *Mittal* (2003/0125970). The Examiner states that *Mittal*, at Paragraph 0048, discloses a method of receiving an applicant request for a specified job. Applicants respectfully disagree. *Mittal* discloses a system whereby an applicant submits general information such as a resume or a desired job profile. The "posting of a desired profile" (¶0048) is actually a trigger that notifies a recruiter of the applicant's presence on system, and not a method of receiving an applicant's request for a specified job. Once the recruiter is aware of the applicant's presence, he uses the applicant's profile to "generate a preliminary list of matching jobs." (¶0048). *Mittal* does

Application No. 10/621,267

Paper Dated: May 15, 2006

Attorney Docket No. 111956.00301

not describe a method for applicants to request specified jobs. Rather, *Mittal* describes a method for recruiters to generate a list of possible jobs using the applicant's profile information.

In addition, the Examiner states that *Mittal*, at Paragraph 0058, discloses a method of providing a report profile whereby a resulting report relates to one or more elements of the applicant data for a plurality of applicants to one or more of the employer positions. Applicants again respectfully disagree. *Mittal* discloses a method of mapping a job seeker's information on a jobs database to generate a "list of matching jobs" (¶0058), but does not discuss generating a report profile of applicant information. The method claimed by applicants allows employers to generate reports which show a particular job's overall applicant data, such as gender, ethnicity and/or other attributes of the applicants for the applicant group. This may help the employer to ensure compliance with third party and/or government standards. Claim 24 has been added to further emphasize this difference. *Mittal* does not teach the generation of report profiles, rather it merely discloses the method of using applicant information to generate a list of matching jobs.

For at least these reasons, the teachings of *Mittal* are not relevant to claims 1 or 10, and applicants respectfully request reconsideration of these rejections.

As claims 2-9 depend from and incorporate all of the limitations of allowable independent claim 1, claims 2-9 are likewise allowable over the prior art.

As claims 11-20 and 23 depend from and incorporate all of the limitations of allowable claim 10, claims 11-20 and 23 are likewise allowable over the prior art.

### 3. Rejections Under §103(a)

Claims 6 and 15

Claims 6 and 15 include the element of rejecting an applicant request unless at least one job is specified. The Examiner asserts that this element is disclosed in *Okamoto et al.* (2002/0156674) and that it would be obvious to combine *Mittal*, *Okamoto* and *Farenden* (2002/0128894).

Application No. 10/621,267

Paper Dated: May 15, 2006

Attorney Docket No. 111956.00301

Applicants respectfully disagree. Although Okamoto teaches a recruitment system where

an applicant initiates the application process by selecting a job and clicking a transmission

object, it is not disclosed or inherent in Okamoto that the applicant's request will be rejected by

the system if he does not specify at least one job, as is asserted by the Examiner. An applicant

selects a job to initiate the application process, but Okamoto does not disclose prohibiting receipt

of a job request if this selection does not occur.

Rejecting an applicant request unless at least one job is specified ensures the accuracy of

the report profile that may be generated by the employer. This prohibition guarantees that the

applicant data used to generate a report is tied to one or more job positions. Okamoto, Mittal, and

Farenden do not, alone or in combination, teach or suggest this result.

Claims 21 and 22

The Examiner has rejected claims 21 and 22 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being

unpatentable over Mittal in view of Farenden and further in view of Okamoto. The Examiner

asserts that Mittal teaches a recruitment data management system comprising the means for

receiving an applicant request for a specified job, while Okamoto teaches prohibiting receipt of a

request unless a job is specified. Moreover, the Examiner asserts that Farenden teaches receiving

and storing applicant data that includes gender and ethnicity information, and Mittal teaches

providing reports on applicant data.

Applicants respectfully disagree. To establish a prima facie case of obviousness, the prior

art must teach or suggest all of the limitations of the claim. See MPEP § 2142. Mittal, Farenden

and Okamoto do not, alone or in combination, teach or suggest all of the limitations of claims 21

or 22.

As noted above in the remarks relating to claims 1 and 10, Mittal does not describe any

system that receives an applicant request for a specified job. Nor does Mittal teach any system of

providing reports on applicant data.

-10-

Application No. 10/621,267

Paper Dated: May 15, 2006

Attorney Docket No. 111956.00301

Although *Okamoto* teaches a recruitment system where an applicant initiates the application process by selecting a job and clicking a transmission object, it is not disclosed or inherent in *Okamoto* that the applicant's request will be rejected by the system if he does not specify at least one job, as is asserted by the Examiner. An applicant selects a job to initiate the application process, but *Okamoto* does not disclose prohibiting receipt of a job request if this selection does not occur.

In addition, Farenden fails to teach receiving and storing applicant information which includes gender and ethnicity data. Farenden discloses a recruitment system that stores and displays to applicants the gender and ethnicity of the recruiters, but it does not collect such information from applicants (¶0092-0093). The Examiner asserts that it is therefore inherent in Farenden invention that gender and ethnicity are included in the applicant data. In addition, the Examiner asserts that it would have been obvious to combine the teachings of Farenden and Mittal since employers customarily collect gender and ethnicity information.

Applicants respectfully disagree. Even if collecting gender and ethnicity information from applicants was obvious in light *Farenden*, which applicants dispute, neither *Farenden* nor *Mittal* disclose the use of such information for a report that includes such data for a plurality of applicants for a particular job. As described above, *Mittal* does not disclose a method of generating report profiles of applicant information. Therefore, collecting and storing applicants' gender and ethnicity information in order to generate reports is not disclosed by *Mittal*, *Farenden* or a combination of the two.

For at least these reasons, the teachings of *Mittal*, *Farenden* and *Okamoto* are not relevant to claims 21 or 22, and applicants respectfully request reconsideration of these rejections.

Application No. 10/621,267

Paper Dated: May 15, 2006

Attorney Docket No. 111956.00301

CONCLUSION

All of the stated grounds of objection and rejection have been properly traversed,

accommodated or rendered moot. Applicants therefore respectfully request that the Examiner

reconsider and withdraw all presently outstanding objections and rejections, and that the

application be allowed and passed to issue.

In order to expedite prosecution of this application, if the Examiner does not consider all

of the presently presented claims to be allowable, the Applicants hereby request the opportunity

for an interview with the Examiner. I invite the Examiner to telephone or e-mail me directly to

schedule the interview if necessary.

A check for the payment of additional claim fees is attached. The Commissioner is

hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required for this Amendment and

Response, or credit any overpayment, to deposit account no. 50-0436.

Respectfully submitted,

PEPPER HAMILTON LLP

James M. Singer

Registration No. 45,111

Pepper Hamilton LLP

One Mellon Bank Center

50<sup>th</sup> Floor

500 Grant Street

Pittsburgh, PA 15219

Telephone: (412) 454-5000

Facsimile: (412) 281-0717

Date: May 15, 2006

-12-